

Privacy in Asia: Building on the APEC Privacy Principles

Nir Kshetri

University of North Carolina—Greensboro

Workshop 28 , September 4, 2013 APrIGF

Current framework for protecting privacy online in the U.S.

- Reliance on self-regulation
- Sarbanes–Oxley (SOX) Act: . Accuracy of financial data
 - IT controls to ensure that data are accurate and are protected from unauthorized changes.
- Health and Human Services Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA): technical, physical and administrative security measures to protect the privacy, integrity, and availability of patients' data
 - Failure to comply: up to \$250,000 in fines and up to 10 years in prison

China, EU, and the US approaches to privacy

| | China | EU | The U.S. |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| Salient feature | Encouraging purely economic use of ICTs and strict cyber-control measures | Strict enforcement of privacy rights through legislation | Preference to rely mostly on voluntary self-regulation but has sector-specific regulations for sensitive data. |
| Key driving factors | Need of balancing economic modernization and maintenance of unity and stability through political control. | World War II-era fascists' and post-War Communists: Europeans are more fearful of the prospect of the abuse of personal information. | Encouraging marketing and innovations. |
| Effects on IT providers | Lack of specificity required for accurate understanding and compliance: the 2012 Online Data Protection Regulation is broad, vague and like guiding principles rather than a law. Many provisions such as department/agency to supervise/enforce are unclear. | Strict regulations and the lack of economies of scale: inefficiencies and acted as a barrier to incentive for the development and diffusion of the cloud and other technologies. | There is a fear among some EU-based consumers and activists that U.S. cloud service providers are required to disclose data stored in clouds to their government without the data owner's consent or knowledge. |
| Effects on IT users | Unavailability of some services has been a concern. Some foreign firms have located their servers in neighboring countries, which has caused a severe negative impact on the quality of services. | Enjoy high level of privacy but due primarily to the lack of choice and quality of cloud services, consumers are slower to adopt the cloud. | There have been some concerns related to the government's monitoring and companies' misuse of citizens' information. |

Key stakeholders in privacy discussion: Special interest groups and the private sector

- **U.S.:** The American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), The Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC), The Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), and Others: Urged DHS to Stop Creation of National Identity System
- **Europe:**
 - ETNO: lobbied for an international privacy standard, simplification of rules governing data transfers, and others—expected to enable European companies to compete with those in the U.S.
 - Oracle, Cisco, SAP, Apple, Google and Microsoft: lobbied to streamline EU's fragmented national data protection laws.
 - Jan. 2011: Microsoft general counsel, spoke to the French National Assembly
- **India:** NASSCOM
- **China:** ISC



Thank you!